Notice of Allowability	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/023,234	STADLER ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	George Manuel	3762
The MAILING DATE of this communication appearance All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RI of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313	(OR REMAINS) CLOSED in this a or other appropriate communicat GHTS. This application is subject and MPEP 1308.	application. If not included ion will be mailed in due course. THIS
1. This communication is responsive to the amendment, filed	<u>12/9/05</u> .	
2. The allowed claim(s) is/are <u>1-26</u> .		
 Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority una)	been received. been received in Application No.	
Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONN THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE.		oly complying with the requirements
4. A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be subm INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which give		
5. CORRECTED DRAWINGS (as "replacement sheets") mus	st be submitted.	
(a) ☐ including changes required by the Notice of Draftspers		O-948) attached
1) 🗌 hereto or 2) 🔲 to Paper No./Mail Date	•	
(b) ☐ including changes required by the attached Examiner's Paper No./Mail Date	s Amendment / Comment or in the	e Office action of
Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1 each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in t		
6. DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the depo attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT	sit of BIOLOGICAL MATERIA	L must be submitted. Note the
Attachment(s) 1. ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	5. Notice of Informa	l Patent Application (PTO-152)
2. ☐ Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	6. X Interview Summa	
Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No./Mail Date	Paper No./Mail [98), 7. ⊠ Examiner's Amer	Paper No./Mail Date 7. ⊠ Examiner's Amendment/Comment
Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit of Biological Material	8. 🛭 Examiner's State	ment of Reasons for Allowance
	9. Other	
	o. <u></u> .	George Manuel Primary Examiner

Art Unit: 3762

DETAILED ACTION

EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT

An examiner's amendment to the record appears below. Should the changes and/or additions be unacceptable to applicant, an amendment may be filed as provided by 37 CFR 1.312. To ensure consideration of such an amendment, it MUST be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee.

Authorization for this examiner's amendment was given in a telephone interview with Daniel Chapik on 1/20/06The application has been amended as follows:

In claim 1, line 2, before "normal" insert -low-energy--.

In claim 1, line 7, before "guarded" insert –high-energy--.

In claim 14, line 3, before "normal" insert –low-energy--.

In claim 14, line 8, before "guarded" insert -high-energy--.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1-26 are allowed.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

The feature of changing the energy processing mode from a low-energy to a high-energy processing mode for a non-benign cardiac rhythm as claimed in combination with changing the algorithmic set is not taught nor suggested by the prior art of record.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably

Art Unit: 3762

accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on

Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to

applicant's disclosure. Bocek et al '562 discloses delivering a high-energy electrical

therapy; however, there lacks a teaching or suggestion to switch to a high-energy

processing mode. The processing mode differs from the therapy mode in that a high-

energy processing mode is a processing state in which the processor requires high-

energy computational processing and the energy expended for processing is separate

from energy expended for therapy.

Olson et al '420 teach delivering an increased-energy cardioversion therapy.

This teaching, too fails to suggest increasing a processing energy, the increased-energy

is for therapy and not for processing.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to George Manuel whose telephone number is (571) 272-

4952.

Page 3

1/20/06